Brief Communications

Nature **404**, 143-144 (9 March 2000) | doi:10.1038/35004661

Vision: Myopia and ambient night-time lighting

Karla Zadnik^{1,5}, Lisa A. Jones^{1,5}, Brett C. Irvin^{1,5}, Robert N. Kleinstein^{2,5}, Ruth E. Manny^{3,5}, Julie A. Shin^{4,5} & Donald O. Mutti^{1,5}

S1

Myopia is a common affliction (one in four <u>adult</u> Americans is near-sighted¹), and juvenile-onset myopia is believed to be due to a combination of genetic and <u>environmental</u> factors². Results from animal experiments <u>indicate</u> that light <u>cycles</u> may <u>affect</u> the development of myopia^{3,4}, and Quinn *et al.* claim to have extended these to humans⁵. They reported a strong association between childhood myopia and night-time lighting before the age of two: there were five times more children with myopia among those who slept with room lights on than in those who slept in the dark, and an <u>intermediate</u> number among those sleeping with a dim night-light⁵. However, we have been unable to find a <u>link</u> between night-time nursery lighting and the development of myopia in a sample of schoolchildren.

S2

We examined the issue of nursery lighting in a subsample of children from the multicentre Collaborative Longitudinal Evaluation of Ethnicity and Refractive Error (CLEERE) Study. Parents reported their use of night-time lighting and their own refractive status, and the child's refractive error was measured by cycloplegic autorefraction. Our sample consisted of 1,220 children with a median age of 10.2 years: 11.5% of them were African–American, 19.1% Asian, 47.9% Caucasian and 21.6% Hispanic; overall, 18.1% of them were myopic (at least –0.50 dioptres spherical equivalent). The proportion of children with myopia did not differ across nursery-lighting groups ($\chi^2 = 2.62$, P=0.271). Eighty-four of 417 children (20.0%) who slept in darkness were myopic; 128 of 758 children (16.8%) who slept with a night light before age two were myopic, and 10 of 45 children (22.2%) who slept with full room lights on before age two were myopic.

S3

We found an association between the number of myopic parents and nursery lighting before age two (χ^2 =35.02, *P*<0.001), as well as an association between ethnicity and room lighting (χ^2 =89.22, *P*<0.001). This sample carries a statistical power of 0.99 to be able to detect an odds ratio of 2.00 between nursery lighting and childhood myopia.

S4

Our results do not replicate those of Quinn *et al.*⁵. In fact, the proportion of myopic children in those subjected to a range of nursery-lighting conditions is remarkably uniform. The association we find between parental myopia and nursery night-time lighting suggests that Quinn *et al.*'s study should have controlled for parental myopia. S_5

Another possible difference is that Quinn *et al.*'s sample is not representative of juvenile **myopes.** It was drawn from a **tertiary** referral, paediatric ophthalmology outpatient clinic, and the sample had a median age of eight (young for a sample of myopes) with a very high proportion of myopia (30%). Our sample had fewer myopes and fewer hyperopes, and the children were older. Also, the proportion of parents reporting that their **infants** slept under full lighting is different in our study: more than 15% of their clinic-based sample had full nursery lighting, whereas only 3.7% of our representative, school-based sample had full room lighting at night.

Our results indicate that myopia is unlikely to develop in children as a result of exposure to night-time lighting as infants.

See also J. Gwiazda *et al*. Reply: R. Stone *et al*.

References

- 1. Sperduto, R. D., Seigel, D., Roberts, J. & Rowland, M. *Arch. Ophthalmol.* **101**, 405–407 (1983). | **PubMed** | **ISI** | **ChemPort** |
- 2. Mutti, D. O. , Zadnik, K. & Adams, A. J. *Invest. Ophthalmol. Vis. Sci.* **37**, 952–957 (1996). | <u>PubMed</u> | <u>ISI</u> | <u>ChemPort</u> |
- 3. Stone, R. A., Lin, T., Desai, D. & Capehart, C. *Vision Res.* **35**, 1195–1202 (1995). | <u>Article</u> | <u>PubMed</u> | <u>ISI</u> | <u>ChemPort</u> |
- 4. Raviola, E. & Wiesel, T. N. *N. Engl. J. Med.* **312**, 1609–1615 (1985). | <u>PubMed</u> | <u>ISI</u> | <u>ChemPort</u> |
- Quinn, G. E., Shin, C. H., Maguire, M. G. & Stone, R. A. Nature 399, 113–114 (1999). | <u>Article</u> | <u>PubMed</u> | <u>ISI</u> | <u>ChemPort</u> |
- 1. College of Optometry, Ohio State University, Columbus, Ohio 43210-1240, USA
- 2. School of Optometry, University of Alabama, Birmingham, Alabama 35294-0010, USA
- 3. College of Optometry, University of Houston, Houston, Texas 77204-6052, USA
- 4. Southern California College of Optometry, Fullerton, California 92831, USA
- 5. for the CLEERE Study Group

Correspondence to: Karla Zadnik^{1,5} e-mail: Email: <u>zadnik.4@osu.edu</u>

Nature ISSN 0028-0836 EISSN 1476-4687

About NPG Contact NPG Accessibility statement Help Privacy policy Use of cookies Legal notice Terms

Naturejobs Nature Asia Nature Education RSS web feeds

Search: go

© 2013 Nature Publishing Group, a division of Macmillan Publishers Limited. All Rights Reserved.

 $\operatorname{partner}$ of AGORA, HINARI, OARE, INASP, ORCID, CrossRef and COUNTER